

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Interview Summary	Application N .	Appli ant(s)	
	09/623,514	ZOU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stuart F. Baum	1638	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stuart F. Baum.

(3) Andrew F. Nilles.

(2) Phuong Bui.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 August 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4, 6, and 8-11.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Continuation Sheet (PTO-413)

Application No. 09/623,514

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was suggested that Applicant refile application as a RCE and replace "functional part" language with percent identity including function or hybridization language including function. For hybridization, it was suggested to include actual conditions, i.e., 0.2X SSC at 65 degrees C with time. To overcome the written description rejection, it was suggested to include the location of the start and stop codons for SEQ ID NO:1 and 3. To overcome the deposit rejection, Applicant stated that a declaration to overcome the deposit rejection is forthcoming.